

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/690,781	09/690,781 10/17/2000		Karen L. Eagles	Mo-5137/MD-98-12-PF	3632
157	7590	07/31/2002			
BAYER CORPORATION				EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT				PAK, JOHN D	
100 BAYER ROAD					
PITTSBURGH, PA 15205				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1616	<u>–</u>
				DATE MAILED: 07/31/2002	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/690.781

Applicant(s)

Eagles et al.

Examiner

Pak, J.

Art Unit 1616



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 24, 2002 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) X This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) 💢 Claim(s) 1-40 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) 💢 Claim(s) 17-21, 23-29, 31-37, 39, and 40 6) X Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 6, 9, 10, 12, 14, 22, 30, and 38 is/are rejected. 7) 💢 Claim(s) *3, 5, 7, 8, 11, 13, 15, and 16* is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. \square Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Serial Number: 09/690,781

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 1-40 are pending in this application.

Claims 6, 14, 22, 30 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 6, 14, 22, 30 and 38 recite "general formulas" I, II and III. The term "general" is indefinite and unclear: "general formulas" makes it unclear what other formulas may be encompassed. If the recited formulas are some sort of generalization of other compounds that may be encompassed, it is unclear what the metes and bounds of the claimed subject matter may be.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 9, 10 and 12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over CABA abstract 78:64467 for the reasons of record.

Page 3

Serial Number: 09/690,781

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant's arguments relative hereto have been given due consideration, but they were deemed unpersuasive. Much of applicant's arguments centers on a complete misunderstanding of this ground of rejection. This is an anticipatory ground of rejection with an alternative ground under section 103, as is proper under MPEP 2112 and the case authorities cited therein. See e.g., In re May, 197 USPQ 601, 607 (CCPA 1978). The Examiner is not required to establish the same problem solving or same motivation for an inherency based ground of rejection such as here. Here, the same peracetic acid + hydrogen peroxide + water from vofasteril would necessarily have been combined with a known fungicide. This is the same combination that reads on applicant's claims. How can it be that this combination would then **not** exhibit the same property, i.e. microorganism growth inhibition due to vofasteril? The microorganism growth inhibition cannot be avoided – it is a necessary function of vofasteril. The claims are thereby anticipated or at the very least rendered obvious within the meaning of section 103(a).

In the reply of 4/24/02, applicant takes issue with the Examiner's explanation that vofasteril would contain hydrogen peroxide and water. Applicant demands a reference to back this up. Block, on page 176, under the section "Stability of Peracetic Acid" unequivocally establishes that peracetic acid coexists with hydrogen peroxide and water.

Claims 3, 5, 7-8, 11, 13 and 15-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Serial Number: 09/690,781

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 17-21, 23-29, 31-37 and 39-40 are deemed allowable at this time. Applicant is advised that a search update must be carried out at the time of the next Office Action.

A facsimile center has been established in Technology Center 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier numbers for accessing the facsimile machines are (703) 308-4556 or (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Pak whose telephone number is (703) 308-4538. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. José Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

JOHN PAK PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1400